shape of carbonyl compounds (Figure 5), it is uncertain whether or not the nature of the interactions of an aromatic CH group with them can be explained by the above $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond, since scarcely any reports have been published on the relationship between their shape and the strength of the $\mathrm{CH} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds with their oxygen atoms. A dipole-induced-dipole interaction would contribute to the carbonyl-phenyl interactions.

## Conclusion

We have developed a novel and convenient method for evaluating the enthalpies of weak interactions between a phenyl group in a stationary liquid and a variety of molecules as samples with satisfactory accuracy by utilizing gas chromatography.

It has been found that the interactions of a phenyl group are (i) specific for the three-dimensional shape of molecules with which the phenyl group interacts and (ii) more attractive with cyclic molecules, which are similar in three-dimensional shape to the phenyl group, than with the corresponding acyclic molecules. The shape similarity effect holds for alkanes, alkenes, ethers, and carbonyl compounds.

The above effect on the weak interactions would underlie the "similarity recognition hypothesis" ${ }^{39}$ that the three-dimensional shape similarity between groups in reacting molecules is responsible for more specific and precise molecular recognition than would otherwise be achieved. Moreover, the shape-specific weak interactions between groups in reacting molecules have recently been shown to control chemical selectivity. ${ }^{35}$

This type of weak interactions would principally originate from the dispersion interaction or from the dispersion and dipole-in-duced-dipole interactions. Though such interactions depend on relative angular orientation between interacting groups (molecules), the present method is, of course, incapable of elucidating the orientation dependence of interaction enthalpies. The nature of the shape-specific weak interactions presented here will be better understood with the aid of quantum mechanical calculations.

## Experimental Section

Materials, Commercially available silicone oils [OV-25 (1) and OV-101 (2), Gasukuro Kogyo] were used as received. They were coated
on acid treated Celite 545 (Celite 545SK, Gasukuro Kogyo) in a weight ratio of 1 to 5 . The stationary phases thus obtained were packed in stainless-steel tubes ( $3-\mathrm{mm}$ internal diameter $\times 2$-m length). The samples $4-11$ (mostly $>99 \%$ purity) were commercially available (Tokyo Kasei Kogyo) and distilled before use.

Gas Chromatography, Gas chromatographic measurements were made on a Hitachi 063 or 263-50 equipped with a FID detector and a data processor (SIC Chromatocorder 11). The carrier gas was nitrogen with a flow rate of $20 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. Retention time was measured from the methane ( $99.9 \%$ purity, Nishio Kogyo) peak to correct for the free space in the system.

Enthalpy Calculations, Retention time measurements were practically made for the mixture of a given sample and an appropriate internal standard (IS) at various temperatures using a pair of stationary phases each with liquid $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2}$. An IS was so chosen that (i) the $\alpha^{\prime}$ mentioned below does not exceed about 10 since larger $\alpha^{\prime}$ values cause an increase in errors and (ii) its peak completely separates from that of a given sample. The measurements were made at least 6 times each at the six temperatures, whose range was about $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, for 1 and 2 (Figure 1). The relative retention $\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{40}$ was determined for the sample-IS pair. The slope of the $\ln \alpha^{\prime}-\mathrm{T}^{-1}$ plot for liquid 1 or 2 , which was evaluated by least-squares treatment based on eq 2 , gave the $\Delta \Delta H^{\prime}$ for the sample-IS pair. ${ }^{41}$ The $\Delta \Delta H^{\prime}$ was then converted to the $\Delta \Delta H^{\prime}$ for the sample- $n$ octane pair (Tables I-IV) by summing the $\Delta \Delta H^{\prime}$ for the sample-IS pair and the $\Delta \Delta H^{\prime}$ for the IS- $n$-octane pair.
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#### Abstract

Crystal structures of $N$-(methoxymethyl)-1,4-dihydropyridine-3-carboxamide (1) and $N$-propyl-1,4-dihydro-pyridine-3-carboxamide (2) have been determined and analyzed with a goal of detecting possible stereoelectronic interactions between the nitrogen lone pair and the antibonding orbital of the $\alpha-\beta$ bond in these molecules. In both molecules, the dihydropyridine ring skeleton is nearly planar. However, the $N$-substituent carbon atom in both molecules lies significantly ( 0.26 and $0.21 \AA$, respectively) out of the ring plane, and the $\alpha-\beta$ bond is nearly perpendicular to this plane. This suggests that the interaction between the nitrogen lone pair and the antibonding orbital of the $\alpha-\beta$ bond is larger in $\mathbf{1}$ than in $\mathbf{2}$. Ultraviolet spectral data suggest an estimate of $1-3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ as the energy difference associated with the $\mathrm{n}-\sigma^{*}$ interaction, an energy sufficiently large to be the target of natural selection in the evolution of dehydrogenases dependent on nicotinamide cofactors.


Much of the discussion about the chemical and biochemical reactivity of NADH has been based on the assumption that the

1,4-dihydropyridine ring occurs in a boat conformation. ${ }^{1,2}$ The appealing feature of this model is that it places one hydrogen atom

Table I, Some Geometrical Properties of N-Substituted 1,4-Dihydropyridine Compounds

|  | best plane through atoms $\mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{C}(3), \mathrm{C}(5), \mathrm{C}(6)(\mathrm{dev}$ in $\AA)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

${ }^{a}$ Bundule, M. F.; Kemme, A. A.; Bleidelis, Y. Y.; Bisenieks, E. A.; Uldrikis, Y. R.; Dubur, G. Y. Zh. Strukt. Khim. 1981, 22, 124. R(1) $=$ R(4) $=$ phenyl, $\mathrm{R}(2)=\mathrm{R}(6)=$ methyl, $\mathrm{R}(3)=\mathrm{R}(5)=$ ethoxycarbonyl, $\mathrm{R}\left(4^{\prime}\right)=$ H. ${ }^{6}$ Karle, I. L. Acta Crystallogr. 1961, 14, 497. R(1) $=$ benzyl, $\mathrm{R}(2)$ $=\mathrm{R}(4)=\mathrm{R}\left(4^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{R}(5)=\mathrm{R}(6)=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}(3)=$ carbamoyl. ${ }^{c}$ Koyama, H. Z. Kristallogr. Kristallgeom., Kristallphys., Kristallchem. Cryst. Phys., Diffr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr. 1963, 118, $51 . \mathrm{R}(1)=n$-propyl, $\mathrm{R}(2)=\mathrm{R}(4)=\mathrm{R}\left(4^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{R}(5)=\mathrm{R}(6)=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}(3)=$ carbamoyl. ${ }^{d}$ van Lier, P . M.; Donkersloot, M. C. A.; Koster, A. S.; van Hooff, H. G. J.; Buck, H. M. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas 1982, 101, 119. R(1) $=n$-propyl, R(2) $=$ R(4) $=$ methyl, $\mathrm{R}(3)=N$-( $\alpha$-methylbenzyl)carbamoyl, $\mathrm{R}\left(4^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{R}(5)=\mathrm{R}(6)=\mathrm{H}$. ${ }^{\text {I Iwasaki, F.; Watanabe, T.; Maeda, K. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1987, }}$ $60,1255 . \mathrm{R}(1)=$ methyl, $\mathrm{R}(2)=\mathrm{R}(4)=\mathrm{R}(6)=$ phenyl, $\mathrm{R}\left(4^{\prime}\right)=$ benzyl, $\mathrm{R}(3)=\mathrm{R}(5)=\mathrm{H} .{ }^{\boldsymbol{}}$ Huml, K.; Jecny, J.; Kutschabsky, L.; Reck, G. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B: Cryst. Phys, Diffr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr. 1973, 35, 164. $\mathrm{R}(1)=$ ethyl, $\mathrm{R}(2)=\mathrm{R}(4)=\mathrm{R}\left(4^{\prime}\right)=\mathrm{R}(6)=$ methyl, $\mathrm{R}(3)=$ $R(5)=$ cyano.
in the pseudoaxial position, presumably favoring transfer; the appalling feature is that, after 30 years, it still lacks sound experimental foundation. The somewhat meager crystal structure evidence for $\mathbf{N}$-substituted dihydropyridines to date shows that the dihydropyridine ring is essentially planar except in highly substituted derivatives where the deviations from planarity might be due to steric repulsions among the substituents. Some geometric data, calculated from published atomic coordinates, are given in Table I. The only evidence for a puckered conformation in a dihydronicotinamide ring comes from an interpretation of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of NADH itself in terms of a Karplus-type relationship; ${ }^{3}$ even in this case, a pucker could be viewed as arising from a stacking interaction between the dihydronicotinamide and adenine moieties in this system, rather than being an intrinsic structural property of the dihydronicotinamide ring system.

While compelling evidence for the assumed boat conformation of the dihydropyridine ring is lacking, there is also no reliable structural information for molecules in which the dihydronicotinamide system is bound to ribose or to an analogous substituent with an ether O atom in the appropriate position. It seems important to fill this gap, because, according to well-known stereoelectronic arguments, ${ }^{4}$ pyramidality at the ring nitrogen is an expected result of the interaction of the lone pair of electrons on nitrogen with the $\sigma^{*}(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O})$ orbital.

We have now determined the crystal structure of the $N$ methoxymethyl derivative 1 and report our results here. To facilitate comparisons, we have also redetermined and refined the crystal structure of the $N$-propyl derivative 2 , which was solved in projection by Koyama many years ago. ${ }^{5}$ Also, we combine spectroscopic data on these molecules with literature data to make an estimate of the energetic significance of $n-\sigma^{*}$ overlap in dihydronicotinamides.

## Experimental Section

Synthesis of Compounds, 1,4-Dihydro-1-(methoxymethyl)pyridine-3carboxamide (1) was prepared by the method of Stewart and Norris. ${ }^{6}$ The crude material was recrystallized twice from degassed ethyl acetate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.95$ (s, 2 H ), 3.19 (s, 3 H ), 4.42 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1$ H). UV (MeOH): $\lambda_{\max }=335 \mathrm{~nm} . \mathrm{Mp}: 104.5-6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{6} \mathrm{mp} 106-7$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

1,4-Dihydro-1-propylpyridine-3-carboxamide (2), 3-Carbamoyl-1propylpyridinium bromide was prepared following the procedure of An-
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Figure 1, View of molecules 1 and 2 showing atomic numbering. ${ }^{31}$
derson and Berkelhammer. ${ }^{7}$ Reduction to the corresponding dihydronicotinamide derivative was performed by mixing the salt ( 34.4 mmol ) with sodium dithionite ( 92 mmol ) and sodium carbonate ( 181 mmol ) in 100 mL of degassed water. The yellow oil that separated was recovered by extraction with 100 mL of methylene chloride; the organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and evaporated under vacuum. The crude material was recrystallized twice from degassed ethyl acetate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $300 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 0.90(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.54(\mathrm{~h}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.05(\mathrm{t}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1$ $\mathrm{H}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. UV $(\mathrm{MeOH}): \lambda_{\max }=355 \mathrm{~nm} . \mathrm{Mp}:$ $89.5-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{mp} 88-91^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Crystal Structure Analyses, General Remarks. For both crystal structure analyses, data were measured at room temperature on an EN-RAF-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer equipped with graphite monochromator (Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71069 \AA$ ). The structures were solved with direct methods using the program SHELX $86 .{ }^{8}$ All refinements were done by full-matrix least-squares a nalysis with a nisotropic displacement parameters for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and O atoms, isotropic for H atoms, using the program SHELX 76. The atomic numbering scheme for the two structures is shown in Figure 1.

Crystal Structures, 1,4-Dihydro-1-(methoxymethyl)pyridine-3carboxamide (1): $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} ; M_{\mathrm{r}} 168.20 ; a=5.308$ (1), $b=16.175$ (8), $c=10.374$ (3) $\AA ; \beta=101.87$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$; monoclinic; space group $P 2_{1} / c ; Z=$ $4 ; \rho_{\text {cald }}=1.28 ;(\sin \theta) / \lambda_{\text {max }}=0.594 \AA^{-1} ; 1527$ independent reflections, 1091 with $I>3 \sigma(I) ; R=0.050$. The hydrogen positions of the methyl group $(\mathrm{H}(121), \mathrm{H}(122), \mathrm{H}(123))$ were calculated under the assumption that the conformation about the $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ bond is staggered. The maximal residual electron density $\Delta \rho$ is $0.45 \mathrm{e}, \AA^{-3}$, and the minimal is -0.21 e $\AA^{-3}$. Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters are given in Table IIA. Bond distances, angles, and selected torsion angles are listed in Table II1.

1,4-Dihydro-1-propylpyridine-3-carboxamide (2), The crystal structure of 2 had already been solved in projection by Koyama. ${ }^{5}$ For our new measurements, the crystal was enclosed in a glass capillary to prevent oxidation: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ON}_{2} ; M_{\mathrm{r}} 166.22 ; a=5.093$ (2), $b=16.787$ (4), $c=$ 11.017 (3) $\AA ; \beta=101.92$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}$; monoclinic; space group $P 2_{1} / c ; Z=4$;

[^1]Table II. Atomic Coordinates and Equivalent Displacement Parameters ( $U_{\text {eq }}, \AA^{2}$ ) (Isotropic $U$ for H Atoms) with Estimated Standard Deviations in Parentheses

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $\begin{gathered} U_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }^{a} \\ \left(U_{\text {iso }}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (A) For 1 |  |  |  |  |
| N(1) | -0.2364 (5) | 0.1999 (2) | 0.7139 (3) | 0.053 |
| C(2) | -0.1499 (6) | 0.2684 (2) | 0.7881 (3) | 0.044 |
| C(3) | -0.2835 (5) | 0.3383 (2) | 0.7865 (3) | 0.040 |
| C(4) | -0.5521 (6) | 0.3474 (2) | 0.7049 (4) | 0.052 |
| C(5) | -0.6287 (7) | 0.2697 (2) | 0.6275 (4) | 0.058 |
| C(6) | -0.4823 (7) | 0.2042 (2) | 0.6335 (4) | 0.059 |
| C(7) | -0.1611 (6) | 0.4056 (2) | 0.8725 (3) | 0.042 |
| $\mathrm{O}(8)$ | 0.0638 (4) | 0.4018 (1) | 0.9347 (2) | 0.055 |
| N(9) | -0.3044 (6) | 0.4723 (2) | 0.8820 (3) | 0.056 |
| C(10) | -0.1040 (8) | 0.1214 (2) | 0.7363 (4) | 0.065 |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)$ | -0.2291 (6) | 0.0651 (1) | 0.8073 (3) | 0.080 |
| C(12) | -0.2216 (12) | 0.0893 (3) | 0.9388 (5) | 0.101 |
| H(2) | 0.028 (6) | 0.264 (2) | 0.842 (3) | 0.05 |
| H(41) | -0.675 (6) | 0.359 (2) | 0.763 (3) | 0.05 |
| H(42) | -0.561 (6) | 0.393 (2) | 0.643 (3) | 0.06 |
| H(5) | -0.793 (7) | 0.270 (2) | 0.569 (3) | 0.07 |
| H(6) | -0.528 (7) | 0.156 (2) | 0.584 (3) | 0.07 |
| H(91) | -0.236 (7) | 0.513 (2) | 0.934 (4) | 0.07 |
| H(92) | -0.444 (7) | 0.474 (2) | 0.848 (3) | 0.05 |
| H(101) | 0.086 (7) | 0.129 (2) | 0.789 (4) | 0.08 |
| H(102) | -0.104 (7) | 0.096 (2) | 0.638 (4) | 0.08 |
| H(121) | -0.320 (1) | 0.044 (1) | 0.987 (1) | 0.17 |
| H(122) | -0.315 (1) | 0.149 (1) | 0.940 (1) | 0.15 |
| H(123) | -0.024 (1) | 0.094 (1) | 0.991 (1) | 0.17 |
| (B) For 2 |  |  |  |  |
| N(1) | -0.1476 (7) | 0.2092 (2) | 0.7200 (4) | 0.056 |
| C(2) | -0.0765 (9) | 0.2740 (3) | 0.7942 (4) | 0.047 |
| C(3) | -0.2260 (7) | 0.3400 (2) | 0.7904 (4) | 0.040 |
| C(4) | -0.4954 (9) | 0.3481 (3) | 0.7017 (4) | 0.054 |
| C(5) | -0.5556 (10) | 0.2745 (3) | 0.6263 (5) | 0.063 |
| C(6) | -0.3919 (11) | 0.2126 (3) | 0.6342 (5) | 0.065 |
| C(7) | -0.1211 (8) | 0.4047 (2) | 0.8758 (4) | 0.040 |
| $\mathrm{O}(8)$ | 0.1210 (5) | 0.4121 (2) | 0.9247 (3) | 0.050 |
| N(9) | -0.3011 (8) | 0.4583 (2) | 0.9000 (4) | 0.048 |
| C(10) | 0.0006 (12) | 0.1345 (3) | 0.7388 (6) | 0.073 |
| C(11) | -0.1350 (17) | 0.0721 (4) | 0.8063 (7) | 0.098 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | -0.1790 (20) | 0.0931 (5) | 0.9288 (8) | 0.110 |
| H(2) | 0.103 (8) | 0.268 (2) | 0.856 (4) | 0.05 |
| H(41) | -0.638 (8) | 0.361 (3) | 0.743 (4) | 0.07 |
| H(42) | -0.493 (8) | 0.402 (3) | 0.644 (4) | 0.07 |
| H(5) | -0.723 (10) | 0.275 (3) | 0.563 (4) | 0.09 |
| H(6) | -0.427 (9) | 0.166 (3) | 0.580 (4) | 0.07 |
| H(91) | -0.244 (8) | 0.499 (2) | 0.951 (4) | 0.05 |
| H(92) | -0.456 (9) | 0.450 (3) | 0.881 (4) | 0.05 |
| H(101) | 0.172 (11) | 0.145 (3) | 0.795 (5) | 0.10 |
| H(102) | 0.023 (10) | 0.113 (3) | 0.651 (5) | 0.10 |
| H(111) | -0.341 (21) | 0.058 (6) | 0.737 (10) | 0.34 |
| H(112) | -0.020 (13) | $0.023(4)$ | 0.820 (6) | 0.14 |
| H(121) | -0.273 (11) | 0.150 (4) | 0.934 (5) | 0.12 |
| H(122) | -0.248 (13) | 0.053 (4) | 0.980 (6) | 0.15 |
| H(123) | 0.032 (17) | 0.106 (5) | 0.984 (7) | 0.20 |

${ }^{a} U_{\mathrm{eq}}=1 / 3 \sum a_{i}{ }^{*} \cdot a_{j}{ }^{*} \cdot a_{i} a_{j} U_{i j}$.
$\rho_{\text {calcd }}=1.20 ;(\sin \theta) / \lambda_{\max }=0.594 \AA^{-1} ; 1603$ independent reflexions, 803 with $I>3 \sigma(I) ; R=0.047$. The maximal residual electron density $\Delta \rho$ is $0.27 \mathrm{e} \cdot \AA^{-3}$, and the minimal is $-0.19 \mathrm{e} \cdot \AA^{-3}$. Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters are given in Table IIB. Bond distances, angles, and selected torsion angles are listed in Table III.

## Results and Discussion

Crystal Structures. The first important result of our analysis is that the dihydropyridine ring in $\mathbf{1}$ is essentially planar, the largest deviation of any ring atom from the mean plane of the ring being $0.013 \AA$ for $\mathrm{C}(3)$, carrying the carboxamide substituent. This result clearly lends no support to the view that a boat conformation is induced by the presence of an $N$-alkoxy substituent.

Nevertheless, in 1 the methylene carbon $\mathrm{C}(10)$ is displaced out of the ring plane by 0.26 (1) $\AA$. The corresponding displacement of the ring nitrogen from the plane of its three substituent atoms is 0.086 (3) $\AA$. Since the $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ bond is steeply inclined



Figure 2. ORTEP diagrams of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 with vibration ellipsoids drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. ${ }^{32}$


Figure 3. Stereoviews ${ }^{32}$ of the crystal packing of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2. Note in both structures the association to dimers via hydrogen bonds across inversion centers.
to the ring plane (torsion angle $\left.\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11), 102.1^{\circ}\right)$ and hence periplanar to the nitrogen $p$ orbital, one might be tempted to ascribe the slight pyramidality at the $\mathrm{N}(1)$ center to a stereoelectronic interaction between the nitrogen lone pair and the $\sigma^{*}$ orbital of the $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ bond.

However, the methylene carbon $\mathrm{C}(10)$ from the dihydropyridine ring plane in the $N$-propyl derivative 2 is also displaced from the ring plane. The displacement is slightly less $(0.21$ (1) $\AA$ ), corresponding to an out-of-plane displacement of 0.060 (5) $\AA$ at the ring nitrogen. The torsion angle $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ in 2 $\left(100.3^{\circ}\right)$ is comparable to that in 1. This structural difference between $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ could be interpreted as evidence for a somewhat greater interaction between the ring-nitrogen $p$ orbital and the $\sigma^{*}$ orbital of the $\alpha-\beta$ bond in $\mathbf{1}$ than in 2 .

On the whole, the $N$-methoxymethyl and $N$-propyl derivatives (shown in Figures 1 and 2) are remarkably similar in structure. Aside from the somewhat greater pyramidality at nitrogen in 1, there is a slight difference in the orientation of the amide group with respect to the ring plane; in 1 the twist angle about the $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ bond is $5.6^{\circ}$, and in $\mathbf{2}$ it is $20.6^{\circ}$, both with the same sense of rotation.

The similarity between the two structures extends to the crystal packing (Figure 3); the crystals are practically isostructural. In both crystals, the molecules are linked into centrosymmetric dimers by hydrogen bonding between the amide groups, the $\mathrm{N} \ldots \mathrm{O}$ distance being $2.90 \AA$ in 1 and $2.93 \AA$ in $\mathbf{2}$. In 1 the second H atom of the amide group might be described as being engaged in a weak hydrogen bond to the methoxy O atom of a screw-axis related molecule; the $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance is $3.20 \AA$, and the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ angle is $143^{\circ}$. In 2 , the corresponding interaction is between the second amide H atom and the $\beta$-methylene carbon; as a result of the larger twist angle of the amide group, the $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ distance is increased to $3.8 \AA$.

Moreover, the two sets of mean-square displacement amplitudes (MSDA's) show a high correlation, indicating that the nature of the internal motions in the two molecules is very similar. Although the atomic Gaussian displacement parameters (ADP's) from these room-temperature structures with limited resolution are not of

Table III, Bond Distances, Angles, and Torsion Angles for 1 and 2

|  | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) Bond Distances |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.373 | 1.364 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.398 | 1.400 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 1.447 | 1.456 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.333 | 1.340 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.508 | 1.518 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 1.471 | 1.464 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.502 | 1.485 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.308 | 1.324 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | 1.236 | 1.246 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(9)$ | 1.335 | 1.350 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 1.419 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ |  | 1.530 |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.412 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ |  | 1.456 |
| (b) Bond Angles |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 117.2 | 117.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 121.5 | 122.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 120.2 | 119.6 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 124.2 | 124.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 121.9 | 121.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 116.9 | 117.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 121.1 | 120.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 109.8 | 109.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 124.0 | 124.2 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 122.8 | 122.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | 122.6 | 123.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(9)$ | 117.1 | 116.7 |
| $\mathrm{O}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(9)$ | 120.3 | 120.0 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 112.5 |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ |  | 112.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 113.6 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ |  | 117.1 |
| (c) Torsion Angles |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | -1.0 | 0.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | -169.1 | -171.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -0.3 | -2.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 167.9 | 169.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | 102.1 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ |  | 100.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | -65.6 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ |  | -70.9 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 2.4 | 0.6 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 180.0 | -179.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -2.3 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -179.7 | -179.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | 6.6 | 21.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{N}(9)$ | 4.6 | 20.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.1 | -1.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | 0.1 | 3.1 |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | -67.4 |  |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ |  | -58.6 |

${ }^{a}$ Estimated standard deviations: $0.003-0.005 \AA$ for $1,0.004-0.009$ $\AA$ for 2. ${ }^{6}$ Estimated standard deviations: $0.3^{\circ}$ for $1,0.4-0.6^{\circ}$ for 2.
the highest quality, the pattern of MSDA's along the interatomic vectors shows clearly that the molecules do not behave as rigid bodies in the crystal. ${ }^{9}$ Analysis of the ADP's with the program THMAI $1^{10}$ gave root-mean-square libration amplitudes about the $\mathrm{N}-\alpha, \alpha-\beta$, and $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ bonds of $5,6(5), 9.2(8)$, and $10.6(8)^{\circ}$ for 1 and of 7.2 (5), $8.8(12)$, and $9.0(12)^{\circ}$ for 2 , showing that the similarity between the two molecules extends even to the magnitudes of the main internal motions in the crystals.

Despite the overall similarity between the two structures, a detailed comparison of the bond lengths in the two structures reveals an interesting pattern. In the $\pi$ system extending from $\mathrm{N}(1)$ to the amide carbonyl group, the bond distance $\mathrm{N}(1) \cdots \mathrm{C}(2)$

[^2]Table IV, N-Substituted Pyridinium Compounds

| compound | torsion <br> angle, ${ }^{g} \mathrm{deg}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1,1'-(oxybis(methylene))bis(4- | $-40^{a}$ |
| ((hydroxyimino)methyl) pyridinium) dichloride | -40 |
| 2-[(hydroxyimino)methyl]-4'-carbamoyl-1,1'- | $79^{b}$ |
| (oxybis(methylene)dipyridinium) dichloride | 18 |
| monohydrate |  |
| 2-allyl-2-azonia-7-azabiphenylene bromide | $-58^{c}$ |
| 1-n-butyl-4-(1,3-dioxoindan-2-yl)pyridine | $-9^{d}$ |
| 1-ethyl-2-methyl-3-nitropyridinium iodide | $0^{e}$ |
| nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide | $15^{\prime}$ |
| phosphate dihydrate lithium salt |  |

${ }^{a}$ Havere, W. v.; Lenstra, A. T. H.; Geise, H. J.; van den Berg, G. R.; Benschop, H. P. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem. 1982, 38, $1635 .{ }^{b}$ Havere, W. v.; Lenstra, A. T. H. Geise, H. J.; van den Berg, G. R.; Benschop, H. P. Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 1982, 91 , 219. First angle is that of the 2 -[(hydroxyimino)methyl]pyridinium part of the molecule. ${ }^{c}$ Kanoktanaporn, S.; Macbride, J. A. H.; King, T. J. J. Chem. Res. 1980, 204, 2911. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Magomedova, N. S.; Zavodnik, V. E.; Belskii, V. K. Kristallografya 1981, 26, 706. ${ }^{e}$ Tafeenko, V. A.; Aslandov, L. A. Zh. Strukı. Khim. 1980, 21, 79. 'SSaenger, W.; Reddy, B. S.; Muhlegger, K.; Weimann, G. Nature (London) 1977, 267, 225. ${ }^{8}$ Smaller torsion angle between the $\alpha-\beta$ bond of the pyridinium N -substituent and a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond in the pyridinium ring.
is $0.008 \AA$ longer, $\mathrm{C}(2) \ldots \mathrm{C}(3)$ is $0.007 \AA$ shorter, $\mathrm{C}(3) \ldots \mathrm{C}(7)$ is $0.007 \AA$ longer, and $C(7) \ldots(8)$ is $0.010 \AA$ shorter in 1 than in 2. Although these differences are extremely small and never exceed two joint estimated standard deviations, the pattern is again consistent with a somewhat greater interaction between the ring-nitrogen p orbital and the $\sigma^{*}$ orbital of the $\alpha-\beta$ bond in 1 than in 2, On the other hand, there are also larger, unexplained differences on the unsubsituted side of the dihydropyridine ring. Bond distances in the two structures otherwise require no special comment, ${ }^{11}$

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database revealed an interesting regularity, as shown in Table I. The periplanar relationship of the ring-nitrogen p orbital to the $\alpha-\beta$ bond appears to be a characteristic feature of N -substituted dihydropyridines, irrespective of whether the $\alpha-\beta$ bond is polar or not. This is explained ${ }^{12}$ in stereoelectronic terms by arguing that the HOMO (highest occupied molecular orbital) of the five-center, six-electron p system, which is heavily localized on the ring-nitrogen atom, can obtain an appreciable stabilization by delocalizing into the $\sigma^{*}$ orbital of the $\alpha-\beta$ bond; such an interaction is maximized by the periplanar orientation of the two orbitals, which provides maximum overlap between them. As witnessed by the larger degree of pyramidalization in $\mathbf{1}$ than that in $\mathbf{2}$, the interaction appears greater for a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond than for a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond, but it still has a conformationally directive influence in the latter.

A similar analysis of the conformations of N -substituted pyridinium derivatives shows no such preference for the perpendicular orientation of the $\alpha-\beta$ bond. Indeed, there are several structures, mainly of unbranched alkyl or $\beta$-alkoxy derivatives but including that of $\mathrm{NAD}^{+}$itself, ${ }^{13}$ where the $\alpha-\beta$ bond lies quite close to the mean plane of the aromatic ring (Table IV), giving a nearly orthogonal relationship between the $\pi$ and $\sigma^{*}$ orbitals.

The structural results thus support the notion of a stereoelectronic interaction between the nitrogen lone pair and the $\sigma^{*}$ orbital of the $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ bond. Such an interaction should also influence stereospecificity of hydride transfer from C(4). ${ }^{14-18}$
(11) The one exception, the apparent shortness of the terminal $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond in the alkyl chain of $\mathbf{2}$ is probably an artifact caused by curvilinear motion of the methyl C atom arising from a large-amplitude torsional motion about the $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ bond. Also, the apparent shortness of the $\mathrm{C}(5-\mathrm{C}(6)$ bond in $\mathbf{1}$ is probably a result of molecular libration about an axis passing near the center of the dimer and perpendicular to the ring plane. Both bonds have more normal lengths when motional corrections are applied.
(12) To the extent to which "explanations" at this level can be said to explain anything.
(13) Saenger, W.; Reddy, B. S.; Muehlegger, K.; Weimann, G. Nature (London) 1977, 267, 225-229
(14) Wu, Y.-D.; Houk. K., unpublished data

Table V, UV Spectral Data of Some Selected N-Substituted Dihydronicotinamide Derivatives

| N-substituent | $\alpha$-acc? | $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ | solvent | ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cyclopentyl | no | 362/357 | water/MeOH | $a$ |
| cis-2'-carboxycyclopentyl | no | 362/357 | water/ MeOH | $a$ |
| ADP-pentyl | no | 360 | water ( pH 6.0 ) | $b$ |
| propyl | no | 360/355 | water/ MeOH | $a / j$ |
| 2'-carboxybenzyl | no | 358/356 | water/ MeOH | $a$ |
| 4'-carboxybenzyl | no | 358/354 | water/ MeOH | $a$ |
| 2-hydroxyethyl | no | 357 | water ( pH 10 ) | $c$ |
| carboxymethyl | no | 356 | water ( pH 10 ) | $c$ |
| acetonyl | no | 353 | water | $c$ |
| isopropyicarboxymethyl | no | 349 | water | $c$ |
| methylcarboxymethyl | no | 347 | water | $c$ |
| ADP- $\alpha$-D-ribosyl | yes ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 346 | water | $d$ |
| ADP- $\beta$-D-arabinosyl | yes ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 346 | water | $d$ |
| $\alpha$-D-xylofuranosyl | yes ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 342 |  | $e$ |
| ADP- $\beta$-D-dideoxyribosyl | yes | 342 | water ( pH 9.5 ) | $f$ |
| cyanomethyl | yes | 340 | water | $c$ |
| ADP- $\beta$-D-ribosyl | yes | 338 | water | $d$ |
| ADP- $\alpha$-D-arabinosyl | yes ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 342 |  | $e$ |
| ADP- $\beta$-D-dideoxyribosyl | yes | 342 | water ( pH 9.5 ) | $f$ |
| cyanomethyl | yes | 340 | water | $c$ |
| ADP- $\beta$-d-ribosyl | yes | 338 | water | $d$ |
| ADP- $\alpha$-D-arabinosyl | yes | 338 | water | $d$ |
| methoxymethyl | yes | 338/335 | water/ MeOH | $c / j$ |
| $\beta$-D-xylofuranosyl | yes | 337 |  | $e$ |
| benzyloxymethyl | yes | 335 | $50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ | $g$ |
| $\beta$-d-ribofuranosyl | yes | 333 | water | $h$ |
| tetraacetylglucopyranosyl | yes | 332 | $50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ | $g$ |

${ }^{a}$ Hajdu, J.; Sigman, D. S. Biochemistry 1977, 16, 2841-2846. ${ }^{b}$ Goebbler, K. H.; Woenckhaus, C. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1966, 700, 180-186. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Norris, D. J.; Stewart, R. Can. J. Chem. 1977, 55, 1687-1695. ${ }^{d}$ Kam, B. L.; Malver, O.; Marschner, T. M.; Oppenheimer, N. J. Biochemistry 1987, 26, 3453-3461. ${ }^{〔}$ Oppenheimer, N. J. J. Carbohydr.. Nucleosides, Nucleotides 1978, 5, 251-260. 'Woenckhaus, C.; Jeck, R. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1970, 736, 126-133. ${ }^{8}$ Wallenfels, K.; Gellrich, M. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1959, 621, 149-165. ${ }^{h}$ Pleiderer, G.; Sann, E.; Ortanderl, F. Biochem. Biophys. Acta 1963, 73, 39-49. 'Compounds contain hydroxyl groups cis and $\beta$ to the dihydronicotinamide ring attached to a furanosyl ring. ${ }^{\prime}$ This work.

Indeed, there is now evidence that quite small differences in ground-state structure can be associated with large differences in the activation energy for bond-breaking and bond-making reactions. ${ }^{19,20}$ Along these lines, the high stereospecificity of Michael additions to 2,6 -disubstituted 1,3 -dioxin- 4 -ones has recently been correlated with the directionality of very small pyramidal distortions of formally $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ carbon atoms, distortions smaller than those reported here. ${ }^{21}$

UV Spectroscopy and Chemical Reactivity, Spectroscopic data permit an estimate of the energetic significance of donation from the nitrogen lone pair to the $\sigma^{*}$ orbital of the adjacent bond. Donation is expected to cause shifts in the electronic spectrum of the dihydronicotinamide ring; the $n-\pi^{*}$ transition, which gives rise to the absorption band between 330 and 365 nm , should shift to shorter wavelengths with increasing acceptor ability of the $\sigma^{*}$
(15) If we wish to evaluate the possibility that the stereoelectronic interaction in question could affect the evolution of an enzymatic active site, an estimate of its impact on reactivity is needed. It is now clear that variations in the behavior of enzymes amounting to less than $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ can be the targets of natural selection. This is an important point, discussed at length elsewhere. ${ }^{16}$ For now it must suffice to note work of Kreitman, ${ }^{17}$ showing that substitution of essentially any amino acid residue in the alcohol dehydrogenase from Drosophila melanogaster has selective impact, meaning that it influences the ability of the fly to survive and reproduce. Further, we have recently noted that the internal equilibrium constants of the heart and muscle isozymes of lactate dehydrogenase appear to be refined by natural selection to well within $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ of a kinetic optimum. ${ }^{18}$
(16) Benner, S. A.; Ellington, A. D. CRC Crit. Rev. Biochem., in press.
(17) Kreitman, M. Nature (London) 1983, 304, 412-417
(18) Ellington, A. Thesis, Harvard University, 1987.
(19) Kirby, A. J.; Jones, P. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6207.
(20) Bürgi, H. B.; Dunitz, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, I09, 2924-2926.
(21) Seebach, D.; Zimmermann, J.; Gysel, U.; Ziegler, R.; Ha, T. K. J. An. Chem. Soc. in press.


Figure 4, Structures of four nicotinamide adenine dinucleotides showing steric inhibition of conformations placing the lone pair on nitrogen antiperiplanar to the ribose $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in $\alpha-\mathrm{NADH}$ (A) and $\beta$-arabinoNADH (D) but not in either $\beta$-NADH (B) or $\alpha$-arabino-NADH (C) ( $\mathrm{R}=$ adenosine diphosphate).
orbital, ${ }^{22}$ provided that the molecule can adopt a conformation that permits the overlap of the two relevant orbitals. UV spectral data for 1,4-dihydronicotinamides (Table V) clearly show such a trend. Compounds with alkyl groups $\alpha$ to the nitrogen have $\lambda_{\max }$ values ranging from 362 to 347 nm . Compounds with a $\sigma$ acceptor substituent (e.g., a sugar ring oxygen, an ether oxygen, or a cyano group) all have absorbances less than $347 \mathrm{~nm} .{ }^{23}$ In particular, the $\lambda_{\max }$ of $\mathbf{1}$ is 20 nm lower than that of $\mathbf{2}$

Of course, the influence of the substituent on $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ could in principle be due to causes other than $n-\sigma^{*}$ overlap, including inductive and "through-space" effects. While no argument can be conclusive in this respect, the data in Table V argue against both of these as dominating influences. ${ }^{24}$ Perhaps the most persuasive argument that the spectral shifts depend (at least in part) on $\mathrm{n}-\sigma^{*}$ overlap is gained from comparison of the $\lambda_{\max }$ values for dihydronicotinamide derivatives with quite similar substituents: ADP- $\alpha$-D-ribosyl ( $\lambda_{\max }=346 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), ADP- $\beta$-D-arabinosyl ( $\lambda_{\max }=$ 346 nm ), ADP- $\beta$-D-ribosyl ( $\lambda_{\text {max }}=338 \mathrm{~nm}$ ), and ADP- $\alpha$-Darabinosyl $\left(\lambda_{\max }=338 \mathrm{~nm}\right) .{ }^{25,26}$ The first pair of molecules bears a hydroxyl group cis to the anomeric $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond; the second pair does not. In the first pair, the cis-hydroxyl group sterically obstructs conformations that allow $n-\sigma^{*}$ overlap (Figure 4); there is no such steric obstruction of overlap in the second pair. In the first pair, the $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ values are 346 nm ; in the second pair, they are ca. 10 nm lower. This result cannot be explained by simple inductive effects, and through-space interactions are likewise too small to account for the difference, ${ }^{27}$ which amounts to 2.0 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in energetic terms. Thus, it is difficult to explain these spectral differences without involving $\mathrm{n}-\sigma^{*}$ overlap.

As the excited state of NADH and the electronic ground state of the transition state for the hydride transfer reaction are believed
(22) Maggiora, G.; Johansen, H.; Ingraham, L. L. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1969, 131, 352-8.
(23) For the compounds in this table measured in water and methanol, there is a small bathochromic shift $(2-5 \mathrm{~nm})$ on going to the more polar solvent. The effect has been discussed in terms of an increased dipole in the excited state in the more polar solvent, leading to its relative stabilization.
(24) For example, introducing a carboxyl substituent adjacent to the dihydronicotinamide ring appears to have no effect on $\lambda_{\max }$, as indicated by a comparison between the spectra of $N$-cyclopentyldihydronicotinamide and N -cis-2'-carboxycyclopentyldihydronicotinamide.
(25) Kam, B. L.; Malver, O.; Marschner, T. M.; Oppenheimer, N. J. Biochemistry 1987, 26, 3453-3461
(26) The enzymatic reactivites of these a nalogues have been interpreted as evidence against a significant stereoelectronic interaction. This interpretation is based on a mistaken assumption that stereoelectronic features of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ a nomers of $N$-ribosyldihydronicotinamides are intrinsically different. In fact, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ anomers are defined by the orientation of the substituent at the 4 -position of the ribofuranose ring relative to the orientation of the nicotinamide moiety, an orientation that is irrelevant to stereoelectronic considerations, which depend only on the orientation of the lone pairs of electrons on nitrogen with respect to the carbon-oxygen bond.
(27) Hajdu, J.; Sigman, D. S. Biochemistry 1977, 16, 2841-2846.
to be analogous in structure, ${ }^{22}$ the stereoelectronic interaction can be expected to lower the rate of the latter reaction. Consistent with this expectation, molecules where $\mathrm{n}-\sigma^{*}$ overlap is possible are less reactive as hydride donors than those without such overlap. ${ }^{6,28,29,30}$ Here again, only some of the difference in reactivity can be attributed to factors other than an interaction between the nitrogen lone pair and the adjacent $\sigma^{*}$ orbital. Again, analogues of NADH containing a hydroxyl group cis and $\beta$ to

[^3]the reduced ring, the anomers for which $n-\sigma^{*}$ overlap is sterically blocked, are the stronger reductants by 20 mV ( $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ), a difference that can only partly be assigned to through-space interactions. ${ }^{23,27}$

Conclusions, Although the spectroscopic and structural data presented here for N -substituted dihydronicotinamides are consistent with a stereoelectronic interaction between the nitrogen lone pair and the $\sigma^{*}$ orbital of the $\alpha-\beta$ bond, we find no evidence for a boat conformation of the dihydropyridine ring, which is practically planar in the two molecules 1 and 2 . The stabilization of the ground state due to $n-\sigma^{*}$ overlap could be as much as 2 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This appears to be sufficient to influence the evolution of enzymes dependent on nicotinamide cofactors.
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#### Abstract

Synthesis and X-ray crystallography of the cyclic pentapeptide cyclo(Gly - -L- $\left.\mathrm{PrO}_{2}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Phe}_{3}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Ala}_{4}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Pro}_{5}\right)$ has resulted in a novel hydrogen-bonded conformation. A cis-Gly $-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Pro}_{2}$ peptide bond was found with an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the amide proton of Gly $y_{1}$ and the carbonyl oxygen of $\mathrm{PrO}_{2}$, forming a $\delta$ turn. Conformational angles for the $2 \rightarrow$ 3 hydrogen-bonded residues are $\phi_{1}=+109^{\circ}, \psi_{1}=+94^{\circ}, \phi_{2}=-73^{\circ}$, and $\psi_{2}=+170^{\circ}$. A type I $\beta$ turn with L-Ala ${ }_{4}$ and L-Pro in the corner positions, but lacking a hydrogen bond, precedes the $\delta$ turn. The peptide crystallized from methanol in the orthorhombic space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ with 12 water molecules per unit cell and cell dimensions $a=11.238$ (3) $\AA, b=12.225$ (3) $\AA$, and $c=19.505$ (6) $\AA, Z=4$, and $R_{F}=5.64 \%$. All peptide and water protons were successfully located.


Cyclic pentapeptides have been used as model compounds for the study of reverse turns, particularly the $\beta$ turn and the $\gamma$ turn. ${ }^{1-8}$ For cyclic pentapeptides with a DLDDL chiral sequence or its inverse LDLLD, a hydrogen-bonded type I or II $\beta$ turn and often a $\gamma$ turn are observed in the crystal structures ${ }^{1}$ and in solution. ${ }^{2,3}$ Study of the title peptide cyclo( Gly $_{1}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{PrO}_{2}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Phe}_{3}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Ala}_{4}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{PrO}_{5}$ ) addresses the importance of chiral sequence and presence of prolines on the conformation of the cyclic pentapeptide backbone. The importance of these factors may be determined by comparing the conformation of the L-Ala analogue (title compound) with the D-Ala analogue, $\operatorname{cyclo}\left(\right.$ Gly $_{1}-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Pro}_{2}$-D- Phe $_{3}$-D-Ala ${ }_{4}$-L- Pro $_{5}$ ), which has a type II $\beta$ turn conformation with L-Pro ${ }_{2}$-D-Phe ${ }_{3}$ in the $i+$ 1 and $i+2$ positions, respectively, and an inverse $\gamma$ turn with $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{PrO}_{5}$ in the $i+1$ position, both in solution and crystalline states. ${ }^{9}$ An $L$ residue preceding an $L$-proline is known to have strong conformational effects, especially on the preference for the cis and trans X-Pro bond isomers. ${ }^{10}$ Thus, one may predict the L-$\mathrm{Ala}_{4}$-L-Pro ${ }_{5}$ peptide bond would adopt the cis orientation due to the steric arrangement of side chains. However, this is not what is observed for the X-ray structure. Instead, the pentapeptide crystallizes with all peptide bonds trans except the Gly $1-\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Pro}_{2}$ bond, which is cis.

[^4]While $\beta$ and $\gamma$ turns have been extensively studied and are frequently observed in model and naturally occurring peptides, ${ }^{11}$
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    (3) Oppenheimer, N. J.; Arnold, L. J., Jr.; Kaplan, N. O. Biochemistry 1978, 17, 2613-2619.
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